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The noninvasive localization of the accessory pathway (AP) responsible for ventricular preexcitation is helpful in the initial evaluation of patients with Wolff-Parkinson-White (WPW) syndrome. Recently, two groups of authors independently published new classifications derived from the correlation of 12-lead ECG with electrophysiologic studies. Although these classifications allow a more precise localization of the accessory pathway than the Rosenbaum criteria, some cases remain difficult to classify. We used the Frank vectorcardiogram (VCG) to increase the accuracy and to simplify classification.

Material and Methods

The study population consisted of a series of 70 consecutive patients with WPW syndrome, 61 adults and 9 children. In each patient, the ECG was analyzed visually using an 8X magnifying glass and the VCG was displayed on a VERSATEC printer-plotter after computerized analysis by the LOUVAIN program. First, the ECG was used to classify each case into one of five groups according to the polarity of the delta wave and of the QRS complex in all 12 leads: group 1, left lateral; group 2, left posteroseptal; group 3, right posterior paraseptal; group 4, right lateral; and group 5, anteroseptal. Then we studied the correlation between the ECG and the VCG in each case. The VCG analysis focused on the morphology of the delta and QRST loops in the frontal and horizontal planes and on the spatial orientation of the delta initial and of the maximal QRS vectors. Next we derived VCG criteria corresponding to the ECG classification to simplify and categorize the final attribution of individuals in one of the five groups.

Results

On the basis of the ECG criteria, 54 tracings were classified in groups 1–5 and 16 tracings remained of undetermined localization. Only one tracing had an anteroseptal localization (group 5). After VCG analysis and reclassification, of the 16 undetermined localizations, 14 could be definitely classified.

Left lateral accessory pathway (group 1) is characterized as follows (Fig. 1). On the ECG, there are negative delta waves in leads I and aVL and a “concordant” pattern of positive delta and QRS from V1 or V2 to V6. On the VCG the delta loop is leftward and inferior in the frontal plane and leftward and anterior (close to the Z axis) in the horizontal plane, and the QRS loop is in the same direction.

Left posteroseptal accessory pathway (group 2) is classified as follows (Fig. 2). On the ECG, there is a negative delta wave in inferior leads II, III, and aVF and a “concordant” pattern of positive delta and QRS from V1 or V2 to V6. On the VCG, there is superior orientation of the delta wave and QRS in the frontal plane and the horizontal plane is as in group 1 (left anterior delta and QRS).

Right posterior paraseptal accessory pathway (group 3) is classified as follows (Fig. 3). On the ECG,
there is a negative delta wave in the inferior leads (as in group 2) but a "divergent" aspect in chest leads with rS in V1 and R/S > 1 in V2–V3. On the VCG, the pattern is similar to that of group 2 in the frontal plane (superior orientation of delta and QRS), with the exception of less anteriorly oriented delta and QRS in HP (close to the X axis).

Right lateral accessory pathway (group 4) is classified as follows (Fig. 4). On the ECG, there is a negative delta wave only in aVR and there is late transition in chest leads with R/S < 1 in V1–V3. On the VCG, the pattern is the same as group 1 in the frontal plane (inferior and leftward delta and QRS loops). In the horizontal plane there is more posterior orientation of delta and QRS loops (pseudo-LBBB pattern).
Discussion

The VCG allows a simple localization of the accessory pathway in patients with WPW syndrome. When the delta and QRS loops are inferiorly oriented in the frontal plane, there is a lateral accessory pathway. The left lateral accessory pathway (group 1) is characterized by a markedly anterior delta and QRS loops, whereas the right lateral accessory pathway (group 4) shows a much more posterior orientation (pseudo-LBBB pattern). A septal or paraseptal accessory pathway produces a superior orientation of the delta and QRS loops in the frontal plane. The left posteroseptal accessory pathway (group 2) shows an anteriorly oriented delta and QRS loops (as in group 1), whereas the right posteroseptal accessory path-
way (group 3) shows a more posterior orientation in the horizontal plane.

The combination of these ECG and VCG criteria allows a precise localization of the accessory pathway in most patients with WPW syndrome. After ECG classification of the 70 tracings in this study, 54 (77%) could be classified into the five groups. After VCG reclassification, there were only two remaining cases of undetermined localization. Of course, these criteria must be further validated by correlation with electrophysiologic studies and intraoperative epicardial mapping. The easiest differentiation was between group 1 (left lateral accessory pathway) and group 4 (right lateral accessory pathway), because of the markedly different patterns in the horizontal plane. Sometimes more difficult was the differentia-
tion between group 2 (left posteroseptal) and group 3 (right posteroparaseptal), because of the various degrees of anterior projection of initial delta and QRS in the horizontal plane. In our series, group 2 and group 3 localizations represented the majority. Problems could also arise concerning the localizations in group 4 (right lateral), because it is a rather large zone for the insertion of a bypass tract. Localization in group 5 (anteroseptal) is unusual: in this series, there was only one case.

It is interesting that our results are very close to the criteria proposed by Medvedowsky and Nicolai in their elegant correlation study with electrophysiologic data. These authors designed VCG criteria from the patterns derived from maximal preexcitation. Their so-called "dynamic" VCG patterns ac-
tually seem to represent the exaggeration of the patterns we observed on "static" VCGs, during the routine analysis of tracings with various degrees of preexcitation.
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