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Abstract: It is a challenge to investigate if frequency domain methods can be used for the analysis or even synthesis of nonlinear dynamical systems. However, the effects of nonlinearities in the frequency domain are non-trivial. In this paper analytical tools and results to analyze nonlinear systems in the frequency domain are presented. First, an analytical relationship between the parameters defining the nonlinearity, the LTI dynamics and the output spectrum is derived. These results allow analytic derivation of the corresponding higher order sinusoidal input describing functions (HOSIDF). This in turn allows to develop novel identification algorithms for the HOSIDFs using identification experiments that apply broadband excitation signals, which significantly reduces the experimental burden previously associated with obtaining the HOSIDFs. Finally, two numerical examples are presented. These examples illustrate the use and efficiency of the theoretical results in the analysis of the effects of nonlinearities in the frequency domain and broadband identification of the HOSIDFs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The frequency response function (FRF) is frequently used to model dynamical systems in the frequency domain. In the presence of nonlinearities, however, this type of frequency domain model fails to model the complete dynamics, which may lead to unexpected and undesired results. In order to use frequency domain data to analyze nonlinear systems, the effects of nonlinearities in the frequency domain need to be taken into account.

The effects of nonlinearities in the frequency domain have been analyzed in literature in various ways. First, in Billings and Tsang (1989), the authors use a generalized FRF, related to the Volterra kernel, to describe nonlinear systems in the frequency domain. This work is continued over the years and recent results are published in Jing et al. (2009); Li and Billings (2010). Second, a different approach is used in Pavlov et al. (2007a). Here, a FRF for nonlinear systems is introduced that fully models the input-output behavior of uniformly convergent nonlinear systems subject to harmonic inputs. Moreover, a nonlinear bodeplot is defined and extended to closed loop nonlinear systems in Pavlov et al. (2007b) by defining a nonlinear (complementary) sensitivity function. Third, in Pintelon and Schoukens (2001), an extensive discussion of frequency domain identification methods is provided. The authors use specially designed multisine excitation signals to obtain quantitative measures for the level and type of nonlinearities present. Recent results concerning the robustness of the obtained models are presented in Schoukens et al. (2009). Fourth, in Nuij et al. (2006) the Higher Order Sinusoidal Input Describing Functions (HOSIDFs) are defined. The HOSIDFs are an extension of the sinusoidal input describing function and describe the response (gain and phase) at harmonics of the base frequency of a sinusoidal input signal. Identification of the HOSIDFs in a closed loop setting is discussed in Nuij et al. (2008a) while HOSIDFs are used to identify friction parameters in Nuij et al. (2008b). In Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010a,c,d) the HOSIDFs are compared to the FRF and used to tune nonlinear controllers. Finally, in Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b) analytical expressions for the HOSIDFs are derived for a class of nonlinear systems. This allows for frequency domain analysis of the effects of the parameters defining the nonlinear and LTI dynamics and identification of the HOSIDFs using broadband excitation signals.

In this paper, part of the results in Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b) are used and applied to analyze and identify nonlinear systems in the frequency domain. In Section 3...
an analysis of the effects of nonlinearities on the output spectrum of a class of nonlinear systems is provided. Furthermore, the corresponding HOSIDFs are analyzed. In Section 4, two numerical examples are provided. The first example illustrates the use of the theoretical results to the spectral analysis of nonlinear systems. Finally, the second example applies the theoretical results in an identification setting to measure the HOSIDFs using broadband excitation signals. Matlab tools to apply the theory presented in this paper are available online.

2. NOMENCLATURE

In the following analysis, continuous spectra and vectors containing only specific spectral components are used. Time signals \( x(t) \in \mathbb{R} \) are denoted by non-capitalized roman letters, while the corresponding single sided spectra \( \mathcal{X}(\omega) \in \mathbb{C} \) are denoted in capitalized, calligraphic font. Next, \( X \in \mathbb{C} \) denotes in capitalized roman letters, denotes a vector such that \( X[t] = \mathcal{X}((t-1)\omega_0) \). Hence, the \( \ell^{th} \) element of the vector \( X \), \( X[\ell] \), contains the spectral components \( \mathcal{X}(k\omega_0), k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) at the \( k = (t-1)^{th} \) harmonic of the excitation frequency \( \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \). Finally, the results presented in this paper concern a class of LPL nonlinear systems, which is defined below.

### Definition 1. (LPL: block structures).

Consider a N-branch, block structured configuration as depicted in Figure 1. Each branch consists of a series connection of a LTI block \( G_n^p(\omega) \), a static nonlinear mapping \( \rho_n \) and another LTI block \( G_n^s(\omega) \). The system has one input \( u(t) \), one output \( y(t) \) and intermediate signals \( q_n(t), r_n(t) \) and \( s_n(t) \). The nonlinearity \( \rho_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a static, polynomial mapping of degree \( p_n \):

\[
\rho_n : r_n(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{p_n} \alpha_p[n] q_p^n(t)
\]

with \( \alpha_p[n] \in \mathbb{R} \). Finally, note that if \( G_n^p(\omega) = 1 \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_1 \) the remaining LPL system equals a parallel Hammerstein configuration with polynomial nonlinearities.

### 3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

#### 3.1 Output Spectra of LPL Systems

A detailed analysis of the spectral properties of nonlinear systems is provided in Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b). Relevant results in this reference are reviewed briefly in this section. After analyzing the effects of a static polynomial nonlinearity in the frequency domain, results are generalized to the spectral analysis of LPL systems. Finally, the analytical expressions for the output spectra of LPL systems are used to analytically describe the corresponding (Fundamental) Higher Order Sinusoidal Input Describing Functions.

Consider the following static polynomial mapping:

\[
y(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \alpha_p u_p(t), \tag{2}
\]

with \( u(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R} \) the input and output of the system and \( \alpha_p \in \mathbb{R} \) the polynomial coefficients. Next, consider the analysis of the output spectrum \( \mathcal{Y}(\omega) \) when system (2) is subject to a one-tone input:

\[
u(t) = \gamma \cos(\omega_0 t + \varphi_0), \tag{3}
\]

with \( \gamma, \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) the gain and phase and \( \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) the frequency of the input signal.

The output spectrum \( \mathcal{Y}(\omega) \) of (2) subject to (3) depends only on the polynomial coefficients \( \alpha_p \) and the properties of the input signal which is formalized in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** (nonlinear coef, and output spectra). Consider a static polynomial mapping (2), subject to an input (3). Then the single sided spectrum of the output \( y(t) \) is given by the following mapping \( \mathbb{R}^P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{P+1} \), from the polynomial coefficients \( \alpha \) to the output spectrum \( \mathcal{Y}(\omega) \):

\[
Y = \Phi(\varphi_0) \Gamma(\gamma) \alpha, \tag{4}
\]

where the different components are defined below.

- **output spectrum (vector)** \( Y \in \mathbb{C}^{P+1} \): where \( Y = [\mathcal{Y}(0) \ \mathcal{Y}(\omega_0) \ \mathcal{Y}(2\omega_0) \ \ldots \ \mathcal{Y}(P\omega_0)]^T \) is a vector containing the nonzero spectral lines in the output spectrum, at harmonics of the input frequency.
- **input phase matrix** \( \Phi(\varphi_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{(P+1)\times(P+1)} \): describing the influence of the input phase on the output spectrum: \( \Phi_{k+1,k+1}(\varphi_0) = e^{i\varphi_0}, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and 0 otherwise.
- **input gain matrix** \( \Gamma(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^{P\times P} \): describing the influence of the input amplitude on the output spectrum: \( \Gamma_{p,p}(\gamma) = \gamma^p \) and 0 otherwise.
- **inter-harmonic gain matrix** \( \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{(P+1)\times(P+1)} \): describing the relation between the input and the harmonic components in the output spectrum:

\[
\Omega_{kp} = (1 - \sigma_p) \left( \frac{p}{p-k} \right) \frac{p}{2}
\]

\[
\Omega_{(k+1)p} = \left( \frac{p-k}{2} \right) \sigma_{pk} \quad \forall k \leq p, k \in \mathbb{N}_1
\]

and 0 otherwise. With \( \sigma_p = p \mod 2, \sigma_k = k \mod 2 \) and \( \sigma_{pk} = \sigma_p \sigma_k + (1 - \sigma_p)(1 - \sigma_k) \).

- **polynomial coefficients** \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^P \):

where \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_P]^T \) is a vector containing the coefficients of the polynomial nonlinearity.

(Proof: Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b))

Theorem 1 allows to express the output spectra of LPL systems in terms of the polynomial coefficients \( \alpha[n] \) and the LTI dynamics \( G_n^p(\omega) \) (Matlab tool available). These
expressions are formulated in terms of the input gain and phase matrices $\Gamma(\gamma), \Phi(\phi_0)$ and the inter-harmonic gain matrix $\Omega$ and yield expressions for the higher order sinusoidal input describing functions of LPL systems.

### 3.2 Higher Order Sinusoidal Input Describing Functions

In Nuij et al. (2006), the output of a uniformly convergent, time invariant nonlinear system (Pavlov et al. (2004)), subject to (3) is considered. This output is composed of harmonics of the input frequency and equals:

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} |\delta_k(\omega_0, \gamma)| \gamma^k \cos(k(\omega_0 t + \phi_0) + \angle \delta_k(\omega_0, \gamma)),$$

where $\delta_k(\omega_0, \gamma) \in \mathbb{C}$ is the $k$th order Higher Order Sinusoidal Input Describing Function (HOSIDF), describing the response (gain and phase) at harmonics of the base frequency of a sinusoidal input signal.

**Definition 2.** ($\delta_k(\omega_0, \gamma); \text{HOSIDF}$).

Consider a uniformly convergent, time invariant nonlinear system (Pavlov et al. (2004)) subject to (3). Define the systems output $y(t)$ and corresponding single sided spectra of the input and output $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$, $\mathcal{F}(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the $k$th higher order sinusoidal input describing function $\delta_k(\omega_0, \gamma) \in \mathbb{C}, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is defined as:

$$\delta_k(\omega_0, \gamma) = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\omega_0) - \delta_k(\omega_0)}{\mathcal{F}(\omega_0)}$$

(adopted from Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b))

Theorem 1 yields analytic expressions for the output spectra and hence the HOSIDFs of LPL systems.

**Lemma 1.** (HOSIDFs of LPL systems).

The HOSIDFs of a LPL system are given by:

$$H(\omega_0, \gamma, G_n^+ = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \Delta(\omega_0) G_n^+(\omega) \left[ \Phi(\angle G_n^- (\omega_0)) \Omega((G_n^- (\omega_0)) |\gamma| \alpha[n] \right] ,$$

with $\Delta(\omega_0) = \text{diag}(\{\delta(\omega - \omega_0) \delta(\omega - 2\omega_0) \ldots \delta(\omega - P_n(\omega_0))\}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(P_n+1) \times (P_n+1)}$ is a diagonal matrix of $\delta$-functions, $H = [\delta_0(\omega_0) \delta_1(\omega_0) \delta_2(\omega_0) \ldots \delta_{\text{max}}(\omega_0)]^T$ and the gain compensation matrix $T_{k+1,k+1}(\gamma) = \gamma^{-k}$ and 0 otherwise. (Proof: Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b))

Finally, for LPL systems, Lemma 1 yields the following, amplitude independent basis functions for the HOSIDFs.

**Definition 3.** (fHOSIDFs of LPL systems).

The Fundamental Higher Order Sinusoidal Input Describing functions (fHOSIDF) $\mathcal{F}_p(\omega)$ of a LPL system equal a weighted sum of the LTI dynamics $G_n^+(\omega)$ when the system is re-formulated with respect to the set of polynomial mappings $\rho_n : r_n(t) = q_n^0$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{F}_p(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} G_n^+(\omega) \alpha_p[n] \quad (7)$$

The fHOSIDFs are amplitude independent basis functions for the HOSIDFs which provide a decoupling of the amplitude and frequency effects in the HOSIDFs, since:

$$H(\omega_0, \gamma, G_n^+) = [T_{1}^{-1}(\gamma) \Delta(\omega_0) \Omega(\gamma)] F(\omega),$$

with $F(\omega) = [\mathcal{F}_1(\omega) \mathcal{F}_2(\omega) \ldots \mathcal{F}_{\text{max}}(\omega)]^T$. (Proof: Rijlaarsdam et al. (2010b))

Next, these theoretical results are applied (Matlab tool available \(^1\)) to analyze and identify two nonlinear systems in the frequency domain.

### 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

**Fig. 2.** Two-branch LPL system.

Consider the LPL system depicted in Figure 2, which is a LPL system with $N = 2$, $\alpha[1] = [1 \ 1]^T, \alpha[2] = [1 1]^T$ and $G_n(\omega) = 1$. Definition 3 yields analytic expressions for the fHOSIDFs of the system depicted in Figure 2:

$$F(\omega) = \left[ \mathcal{F}_1(\omega) \mathcal{F}_2(\omega) \right]$$

The corresponding HOSIDFs follow from Lemma 1 and Definition 3 and equal:

$$H(\omega, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathcal{F}_2(0) \mathcal{F}_2(\omega) \left[ \frac{3}{4} \mathcal{F}_3(\omega) \right]$$

In the next sections, two numerical examples are presented. The first example focuses on the analysis and interpretation of the HOSIDFs while the second example illustrates the application of the theoretical results to bandwidth identification of the HOSIDFs in practice.

#### 4.1 Example 1: Spectral Analysis of a LPL System

Consider the system depicted in Figure 2, with $\xi = 0$ and define $G_n^+(\omega)$ as a bandpass filter, such that $|G_n^+(\omega)| = 1 \forall \omega \in \pi/2$ and 0 otherwise. Furthermore, define $G_n^+(\omega)$ as a bandstop filter, such that $|G_n^+(\omega)| = 0 \forall \omega \in \pi/2$ and 1 otherwise. Finally, define the sets $\pi/2 = [\pi \ 0]^T, \pi/4 = [\pi \ \pi/2]^T$ and assume that the bandstop and bandpass filters overlap, i.e. $\omega \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$.

First, consider the relation between the second and third (f)HOSIDFs, the LTI dynamics and the polynomial nonlinearities. Equation (8) and (9) provide analytical expressions for the (f)HOSIDFs for the system depicted in Figure 2. Substituting $\xi = 0$ yields the second and third fHOSIDF $\mathcal{F}_2(\omega), \mathcal{F}_3(\omega)$ to equal the LTI dynamics $G_n^+(\omega)$ and $G_n^+(\omega)$ respectively. The corresponding HOSIDFS $\delta_2(\omega), \delta_3(\omega)$ also equal the LTI dynamics, scaled in magnitude by appropriate constant (\(\gamma = -12 \text{ dB}\)) and contracted in $\omega$, following Theorem 1. This is illustrated in Figure 3 where both the LTI dynamics $G_n^+(\omega), G_n^+(\omega)$ and the second and third HOSIDF are depicted. Considering the
amplitude dependent. This amplitude dependency is only observed in the frequency range on which the original bandpass filter acts. Finally, similar effects can be observed for the bandstop filter $G_2^+(\omega)$ and the related HOSIDFs $\hat{H}_0(\omega)$, $\hat{H}_2(\omega)$.

4.2 Example 2: Broadband Identification of HOSIDFs

In this section a numerical example is presented that illustrates the application of the theory presented in Section 3 to the identification of the HOSIDFs of a system from broadband simulation data. Consider the system depicted in Figure 2, with $\xi =\frac{10}{4\pi}$ and the LTI dynamics $G_n^{\pm}(\omega)$ selected as different Chebyshev filters of order three. Figure 6 depicts the LTI dynamics in continuous black $G_1^{+}(\omega)$ and grey $G_2^+(\omega)$ lines. In the following, simulations have been performed using Matlab and all data is collected with a sampling frequency of 2560 Hz, and processed in blocks of 8192 points.

To illustrate the application of the presented theory in experimental identification techniques, the system in Figure 2 is considered as a black box model of which the structure is known but only the input $u(t)$ and output $y(t)$ can be measured. A complete discussion of the identification techniques used to obtain estimates for $G_n^{\pm}(\omega)$ and $\alpha^{[n]}$ can be found in Schoukens et al. (2010). In short, the system is excited with a series of multisine input signal which differ in excitation level. For each level of excitation the best linear approximation of the systems dynamics is computed. Using a singular value decomposition based technique, the number of relevant branches can be selected...
and the linear dynamics \( \hat{G}_n^+(\omega) \) are estimated. Finally, the parameters of the polynomial nonlinearities \( \hat{\alpha}_n \) are estimated using a least square fitting procedure on the time domain data.

During simulations, the system was excited with multisine signals with rms values ranging from 1 to 10. Therefore, the identification procedure provides a model that is valid only for this type and range of excitation. This model will generally not equal the true system dynamics and validation experiments are required to assess the quality of the estimated model. The estimated LTI models \( \hat{G}_n^+(\omega) \) are depicted in Figure 6 by dashed lines and are indeed different from the true LTI dynamics \( G_n^+(\omega) \). Moreover, the identified nonlinear parameters \( \hat{\alpha}_1^{(1)} = [1 0.4768 0.5498]^T \), \( \hat{\alpha}_2^{(1)} = [1 1.126 - 0.0742]^T \) differ from their true values as well. However, validation experiments within the range of excitation levels used in the experiments yield that the output predicted by the model matches the output of the true system closely. Therefore, the identified model is regarded a sufficiently accurate, local approximation of the nonlinear dynamics for the type and range of excitation used in the identification experiment.

Using the estimated LTI dynamics \( \hat{G}_n^+(\omega) \) and nonlinear parameters \( \hat{\alpha}_n \), the results in Lemma 1 and Definition 3 allow to compute estimates of the HOSIDFs \( \hat{\mathbf{\delta}}_n(\omega) \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{\delta}}_n(\omega, \gamma) \), using broadband identification techniques. The advantage of this procedure is threefold. First of all, time consuming experiments are avoided where possible. Second, the HOSIDFs can be computed over a much denser grid than they can be measured in a reasonable amount of time. Finally, the HOSIDFs and possible validation experiments can be computed/measured densely in relevant or high gradient regions which are unknown a priori.

This broadband identification procedure for HOSIDFs is implemented numerically. Using a standard Matlab implementation, the first 4 HOSIDFs are computed for 2729 frequency points and 10 excitation levels, i.e. for 109160 points in 16.6 s. The first three fHOSIDFs are computed for the same number of frequency points in less than 3 ms. The total procedure, including the parametric identification of the frequency domain models and validation procedures, requires approximately 90 s.

The results of the numerical computations are shown in Figure 7 - 9. Figure 7 shows the fHOSIDFs computed by applying Definition 3 using both the identified and true LTI dynamics and the corresponding true and identified polynomial coefficients. The fHOSIDFs are amplitude independent LTI basis functions for the corresponding HOSIDFs. These HOSIDFs are computed using Lemma 1 and depicted in Figure 8. Moreover, the HOSIDFs computed using the algorithms introduced in this paper, are compared to the traditionally identified / true HOSIDFs. The difference between both is approximately -40 dB. (1%), indicating that HOSIDFs computed using broadband measurements approximate the true HOSIDFs well.

Finally, Figure 9 depicts \( \hat{\mathbf{\delta}}_1(\omega, \gamma) \), illustrating the dependence of the HOSIDFs on both excitation amplitude and frequency.

5. CONCLUSION

The analytical results and numerical tools presented in this paper allow for novel analytical and numerically effective analysis of the output spectrum of nonlinear systems and the corresponding Higher Order Sinusoidal Input Describing Functions (HOSIDF). An analytic mapping from the parameters defining the nonlinear and LTI dynamics, to the output spectrum of a nonlinear system is provided. Using these results, the input-output behavior of class of nonlinear systems, is described using analytic expressions for the corresponding HOSIDFs. Moreover, although currently applicable to LTI systems only, broadband identification techniques for HOSIDFs heavily reduce the experimental burden required to obtain the HOSIDFs.

The examples illustrate the application of the theoretical results to the frequency domain analysis of nonlinear systems. This indicates that the algorithms for broadband

---
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Fig. 6. LTI DYNAMICS (EXAMPLE 2): Dynamics in both branches of the true and identified system.
- True system \( G_n^+(\omega) \).
- Identified dynamics \( \hat{G}_n^+(\omega) \). (black) First branch, (grey) second branch.
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Fig. 7. fHOSIDF. Fundamental higher order sinusoidal input describing functions computed using the identified LPL system (black) and the true dynamics (grey).
- \( \hat{\mathbf{\delta}}_1(\omega) \), \( \hat{\mathbf{\delta}}_2(\omega) \), \( \hat{\mathbf{\delta}}_3(\omega) \).
identification of the HOSIDFs are applicable to experimental data as well, which is subject to current research as is further analysis of the HOSIDFs. Finally, the application of HOSIDFs to nonlinear controller design is promising and future research will focus on design and synthesis methods for nonlinear systems based on HOSIDFs.
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