Extremely Small AWG Demultiplexer Fabricated on InP by Using a Double-Etch Process


Abstract—A compact low-loss 4 × 4 arrayed waveguide grating (AWG) demultiplexer with a channel spacing of 400 GHz is presented. By employing a double-etch process, a low-loss device is made with deeply etched waveguides that have a bending radius down to 30 μm. This small radius and a reduction of the number of array arms, reduces the device size to only 230 × 330 μm². Measured insertion losses are less than 5 dB and the crosstalk is below −12 dB. To our knowledge, this is the smallest AWG reported to date.

Index Terms—Arrayed waveguide grating (AWG), integrated optics, PHASAR, semiconductor waveguide, wavelength-division multiplexing.

I. INTRODUCTION

PHASED-ARRAY demultiplexers [1] or arrayed waveguide gratings (AWGs) are key components for wavelength-division-multiplexing applications. Large-scale integration of this component pushes the size reduction to the limits of the current processing technology. Small polarization-independent phased-array demultiplexers have been reported with a size of 300 × 340 μm² by Bissessur et al. [2] using only deeply etched waveguides and a bending radius of 100 μm. To further decrease the size of an AWG and to have an acceptable loss value, it is required to decrease the radius of curvature of the waveguides and to combine deeply and shallowly etched waveguides [3], [4]. The increased scattering losses introduced by the straight and curved deeply etched waveguides are compensated by the reduction of the device size. We have tested many AWGs, varying different parameters. In this letter, we present the design and the performance of the smallest: The size is reduced to 230 × 330 μm². Afterwards follows an analysis of the reduction of the array acceptance factor (AAF) on AWG’s crosstalk and insertion losses.

II. DESIGN

We have designed an extremely small four-channel AWG with a 400-GHz channel spacing (3.2 at 1550 nm) and a free-spectral range of 12.8 nm (Fig. 1). The minimum bending radius used for the array waveguides was 30 μm. Although an even smaller minimum radius could have been used, it does not significantly reduce the device size. The AWG was designed for a ridge waveguide structure consisting of a 720-nm-thick InGaAsP waveguide layer (bandgap wavelength 1.25 μm) with a 1200-nm-thick InP upper cladding layer.

Next design parameter to be defined is the AAF. A definition of this parameter is plotted in Fig. 2. The beam propagating through the input waveguide and entering the slab region is no longer laterally confined and becomes divergent. The divergent beam is approximately Gaussian with a waist parameter θ₀. Only a part of the far field is accepted by the array of arms. The corresponding far field angle divided by θ₀ defines the AAF. In this design, the angular region corresponding to an AAF of 2.4 holds 12 array waveguides.

The first 10 μm of the waveguides in the array of the small AWG, connected to the slab region (Fig. 3), are 2.0 μm wide and shallowly etched (100-nm depth in the filmlayer).
array arms themselves and the input waveguides are 1.7 \( \mu \text{m} \) wide and deeply etched (150 nm through the filmlayer). The deep–shallow transition is done gradually using a 50-\( \mu \text{m} \)-long taper. A better coupling is obtained by using an extra width of 0.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) between the taper and the shallow waveguide.

The device was designed for a 720-nm-thick filmlayer (bandgap wavelength 1.25 \( \mu \text{m} \)) and a 1200-nm-thick InP cladding layer. With this layerstack and a deeply etched waveguide width of 1.7 \( \mu \text{m} \), the propagation constant of the fundamental mode is polarization independent. However, due to the material available, we have realized this AWG in a layer stack with a 500-nm-thick InGaAsP waveguide layer (bandgap wavelength 1.35 \( \mu \text{m} \)) with a 300-nm-thick InP cladding layer. Because of this change, the device is not polarization independent.

III. FABRICATION

The layerstack of the small AWG was grown using low-pressure metal–organic vapor phase epitaxy. A SiNx layer of 50 nm was deposited using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. This layer served as an etching mask for the waveguides. The pattern was defined using contact photolithography with positive photoresist and transferred to the SiNx layer by CHF\(_3\) reactive ion etching (RIE). Then deep areas were defined using a second contact photolithography with positive photoresist. The photoresist served as a mask for the deep etch. The deep waveguides were partly etched employing a CH\(_3\)-H\(_2\) etching process (RIE). The depth corresponds to the difference between shallow and deep waveguides. After the resist was removed, deep and shallow waveguides were etched with the same process. The SiNx layer was removed in a buffered HF solution.

IV. MEASUREMENTS

Losses for deeply and shallowly etched straight waveguides were measured using the Fabry–Pérot technique [5]. An average of 2.2 dB/cm was obtained for shallowly etched straight waveguides. We obtained 5.5 dB/cm for deeply etched straight waveguides. Losses for a 1.7-\( \mu \text{m} \)-wide deeply etched waveguide are almost 2 dB/cm higher than with a single etching process. This is due to the masking of the shallow parts by photoresist, which has introduced sidewall roughness. Losses for 2-\( \mu \text{m} \)-wide shallowly etched waveguides are slightly higher in comparison to similar waveguides made with a single etch process.

The transmission spectrum of the small AWG was measured using the spontaneous emission spectrum of an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) as a broad-band light source and a polarizer to select the polarization state. Light was coupled into the chip using microscope objectives, then coupled out of the waveguides by a single-mode lensed fiber and analyzed with an optical spectrum analyzer. The reference EDFA spectrum that defined the insertion loss level was determined from transmission spectra of a large number of separate good waveguides. Fig. 4 shows the measured transmission spectra for both polarizations of the small AWG. Measured insertion losses are less than 5 dB and the crosstalk is below −12 dB. As expected, due to the fact that we used a 500-nm-thick filmlayer instead of 720 nm, the devices have some polarization dependence in agreement with simulations. This particular device shows a 2.3-nm shift between the two polarizations. We notice a difference of 2 dB between the transmission of the center channel and the outer channels. This is to be expected for a periodic device.

Because of the crosstalk, the device presented is more suitable to be integrated in a multiwavelength laser (MWL) [6], [7] than in an add–drop multiplexer or an optical cross-connect. For MWL integration, the periodicity of the device requires narrow gain material centered on the transmission spectra to avoid the lasing in other free spectral range.
V. EFFECT OF THE REDUCTION OF THE AAF

The AAF is an important parameter in the design of a small AWG as it determines the number of arms and, thus, the size of the device. Figs. 5 and 6 show the AAF influence on the insertion losses and the crosstalk measured from a series of AWGs with a constant bending radius of 100 μm and a design similar to the one described in [3].

The insertion loss is almost independent of the AAF for values above 2.5. Below that factor, however, the loss starts to rapidly increase. The crosstalk shows the same behavior: a clear increase for an AAF factor below 2.5. We believe that these results are independent of the bending radius and the specific design of the array arms.

The device presented above has an AAF of 2.4 and a minimum bending radius of only 30 μm. The measured insertion losses are less than 5 dB and the crosstalk is below −12 dB. Those values fit well with the previous graphs for this value of the AAF.

VI. CONCLUSION

A compact low-loss 4 × 4 AWG demultiplexer with a channel spacing of 400 GHz has been fabricated. The device size is only 230 × 330 μm², and to our knowledge, this is the smallest AWG reported to date. Measured insertion losses are less than 5 dB and the crosstalk is below −12 dB. The device is suitable, for example, for use in very compact MWLs.
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